

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,566	LEUPOLD, HERBERT A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M Bernatz.

(2) George Tereschuk.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2005

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that claims 27 and 28 were allowable, and that clarification of claims 44 and 56 to positively recite that the perpendicular magnetization was "perpendicular to the plane" of the laminae would appear to distinguish over the prior art of record. The Examiner indicated that claims 1 - 18, 29 - 43 and 49 - 52, nonelected without traverse, would also need to be cancelled prior to allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment of claims 44 and 56 as well as the cancellation of the nonelected claims..